|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Team Meeting | | | | | | | | | | | | | 2015-09-17 | | | | |
| 8PM - 9PM | | | | |
| Online Meeting ( Skype ) | | | | |
| Meeting called by: | | | | | | Christopher Sigouin | Type of meeting: | | | | | Initial Online Meeting | | | | | |
| Facilitator: | | | | | | None | Note taker: | | | | | None | | | | | |
| Timekeeper: | | | | | | None |  | | | | |  | | | | | |
| Attendees: | Gwen Harwood, Matthew Hutchinson, Christopher Sigouin | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|  | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Minutes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|  | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Agenda item: | | **Review our project idea** | | | | | | Presenter: | | | | | | All members | | | |
| Discussion: | |  | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Project team reviewed / discussed our project description ( Section 1.2 of the design document ). Gwen noted that there were some grammatical issues and advised that she would correct them. She also noted to indicate technologies used for the project within the description because it may be a requirement. Other project ideas have a similar format. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Conclusions: | |  | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| The project was accepted and understood by everyone. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Action items | |  | | | | | | | Person responsible | | | | | | | | Deadline |
| * Some grammatical issues with the description will be corrected. * Add technologies used to the description heading | | | | | | | | | Gwen Harwood  Christopher Sigouin | | | | | | | | Sept 21/15  Sept 21/15 |
|  | | | | | | | | |  | | | | | | | |  |
|  | | | | | | | | |  | | | | | | | |  |
| Agenda item: | | **Analyze the problem that we face** | | | | | | Presenter: | | | | | | All members | | | |
| Discussion: | |  | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Project team reviewed the underlying issue that this project will solve. A IT professional seeking a software analyst / development oriented position requires a portfolio to serve as a selling point for themselves ( in order to acquire employment ). We agreed that the project suggestion could effectively solve this issue. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Conclusions: | |  | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| All members understood and agreed upon the issue | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Action items | | | | | | | | | | Person responsible | | | | | | | Deadline |
| * None | | | | | | | | | | N/A | | | | | | | N/A |
|  | | | | | | | | | |  | | | | | | |  |
|  | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|  | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|  | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Agenda item: | | | | **Reviewed example websites** | | | | Presenter: | | | | | | | | All members | |
| Discussion: | | | |  | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Project team reviewed similar websites that matched the project description.  <http://developerportfolio.com/>  <https://coderbits.com/>  While reviewing the CoderBits website, Gwen proposed to include the functionality that allowed employers to purchase accounts in order to list job postings. All members agreed it was a great idea. The workload was analyzed to see if it could be included.  While reviewing the DeveloperPortfolio website, the Github functionality that is incorporated into the website was discussed. Access to pull data from a repository into the website was seen as a possible opportunity and Matthew agreed to research the matter further. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Conclusions: | |  | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| It was agreed upon that the proposel would be a great addition to the project for the end of the year. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Action items | | | | | | | | | | Person responsible | | | | | | | Deadline |
| * Research with Github on possibility of repository data access | | | | | | | | | | Matthew Hutchinson | | | | | | | Sept 21/15 |
|  | | | | | | | | | |  | | | | | | |  |
|  | | | | | | | | | |  | | | | | | |  |
|  | | | | | | | | | |  | | | | | | |  |
|  | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Agenda item: | | | **Discuss and document outline ( Rough )** | | | | | | | Presenter: | | | | | All members | | |
| Discussion: | | |  | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Project team reviewed Section 1 of the design document. It was agreed that the primary and secondary functions were feasible and defined correctly. Matthew noted to add functionality for reviewing a members resume through their portfolio as well. It was agreed that this should be added. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Conclusions: | |  | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Resume viewing functionality will be added to 'Section 1.4 Secondary Functions' of the design document | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Action items | | | | | | | | | | | Person responsible | | | | | | Deadline |
| * Add resume viewing capability with secondary functions in design document | | | | | | | | | | | Christopher Sigouin | | | | | | Sept 21/15 |
|  | | | | | | | | | | |  | | | | | |  |
| Other Information | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|  | |  | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Observers: | |  | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| None | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Special notes: | | | | |  | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Next meeting will be on Sept 21st / 2015 with BJ Maclean ( potentially ). | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |